

TAC Aviation Ballot Results - STI P3.0

TOTALS

SPOT_3_ID	Louise Hinton - Nashville	Al Wester - Red Oak	James Alston - Castalia	Evelyn Powell - Edgcombe	Vines Cobb, JR - Pinetops	Carol Ruffin - Tarboro	Logan Liles - City of Wilson	Dana Hewett - Sims	Tommy Hawkins - Saratoga	Ted Godwin - Johnston	Kenneth Jones - Wilson's Mills	Cherly Oliver - Selma	Wayne Outlaw - Nash	Charles Williams - Smithfield	
A130497	2	2	2	2	2	2	2				1	1	2	1	17
A130496	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				2	2	1	2	13
A130500	3	3	3	3	11	5	3				3	3	3	3	40
A130498	4	4	4	4	10	6	4				4	4	4	6	50
A130501	5	5	5	5	9	7	5				5	5	5	7	58
A130503	6	6	6	6	8	8	6				7	6	6	4	63
A130502	7	7	7	7	7	9	7				8	7	7	9	75
A130499	8	8	8	8	6	10	8				6	8	8	8	78
A130495	9	9	9	9	3	4	9				9	9	9	5	75
A130504	10	10	10	10	5	11	10				10	10	10	11	97
A130494	11	11	11	11	4	3	11				11	11	11	10	94
	66	66	66	0	66	66	66	0	0	0	66	66	66	66	660

SPOT_3_ID	PROVIDE AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS SCORE MAX 10
A130497	Yes	10
A130496	Yes	10
A130500	Yes	10
A130498	Yes	10
A130501	Yes	10
A130503	Yes	10
A130502	Yes	10
A130499	Yes	10
A130495	Yes	10
A130504	Yes	10
A130494	Yes	10

**** Note: Red Highlight = Non-Highway Top Priority Projects**

Sample Evaluation Results for Regional Highway Projects

SPOTID	Data Driven - Quantitative Score - 20%	TAC Qualitative Score - Public Comments - 40%	Aviation Improvement Score of Project - 40%	Data Driven Z-Score*	Public Comments Z-Score*	Project Viability Z-Score*	Total Score (Data* X .10) + (Public Comment* X .50) + (Viability* X .40)
A130497	-29.50	17	-10	-2.85602796	-1.5327845	0	-1.184319377
A130496	-12.46	13	-10	-0.455642879	-1.6753691	0	-0.761276201
A130500	-8.95	40	-10	0.03880264	-0.712923	0	-0.277408674
A130498	-8.95	50	-10	0.03880264	-0.3564615	0	-0.134824073
A130501	-6.86	58	-10	0.333216068	-0.0712923	0	0.038126293
A130503	-6.30	63	-10	0.412101963	0.10693845	0	0.125195773
A130502	-6.26	75	-10	0.41773667	0.53469225	0	0.297424236
A130499	-6.26	78	-10	0.41773667	0.64163071	0	0.340199616
A130495	-6.20	75	-10	0.42618873	0.53469225	0	0.299114648
A130504	-6.18	97	-10	0.429006083	1.31890756	0	0.613364241
A130494	-3.56	94	-10	0.798079376	1.21196911	0	0.644403519

UCPRPO Points Given

Mean	-9.23	60.00	-10.00
Standard Deviation	7.098860984	28.05352028	0

Note: For the Regional Highway category the lowest 12 z-scoring projects receive the highest prioritization and receive 100 points each. This example highlights the 4 priority projects based on receiving the lowest z-scores as an example only.

**** Note: Red Highlight = Non-Highway Top Priority Projects**
 (Data Driven Score * .2) + (Public Comments Score * .4) + (Viability Score * .4)

TAC Division Highway Ballot Results - STI P3.0

																TOTALS	
SPOT_3_ID	Route	Description	Louise Hinton - Nashville	Al Wester - Red Oak	James Alston - Castalia	Evelyn Powell - Edgcombe	Vines Cobb, JR - Pinetops	Carol Ruffin - Tarboro	Logan Liles - City of Wilson	Dana Hewett - Sims	Tommy Hawkins - Saratoga	Ted Godwin - Johnston	Kenneth Jones - Wilson's	Cherly Oliver - Selma	Wayne Outlaw - Nash	Charles Williams - Smithfield	
H090874	Buffalo Rd	Widen to three (3) lanes from US 70 to SR 1934 (Old Beulah Rd.) in Johnston County	21	21	21		21	4	16				3	2	21	2	132
H112119-B	Booker Dairy Rd Extension	Extension Of SR 1923 (Booker Dairy Road), US 70 Business West Of Smithfield To US 301 (Bright Leaf Boulevard). Two Lanes, Part On New Location - SR 1003	6	6	6		4	5	11				1	4	6	1	50
H141500	New Route	New highway connecting US 64 Bus to Old White Oak Rd for Economic Development.	8	8	8		20	3	21				4	14	8	10	104
H090893	SR 1309(Bloomery Rd.), SR 1382(Packhouse Rd.)	Bloomery Rd and Packhouse Rd Widen from NC 58 to US 264 Alt in Wilson County	10	10	10		18	2	9				5	5	10	6	85
H090881	SR 2302 (Ricks Rd.)	Widen to three (3) lanes from US 70 to US 301 in Johnston County	11	11	11		17	21	5				2	3	11	4	96
H090892	SR 1163 (Downing St)	Widen from Forest Hills to US 264	12	12	12		16	6	20				8	9	12	7	114
H111061	US 64 Bus - Rocky Mount MPO	Widen from 2-Lane to 4-Lane Divided Road	9	9	9		19	7	7				10	17	9	11	107
H090878	40001501(Swift Creek Parkway), SR 1900(Noble St)	Roadway improvements that connect US 70 Bus to US 301 in Johnston County	7	7	7		5	12	19				9	8	7	16	97
H090895	SR 1913	Expand to three (3) lanes from SR 1003 to US 301	5	5	5		7	13	8				7	1	5	3	59
H140525	SR 1913	Widen road with center turning lane (Wilson's Mills Elementary School).	4	4	4		3	14	4				6	7	4	5	55
H090421	Wilson	SR 1606 (Black Creek Road), US 264 Bypass To US 301-264 Alternate (Ward Boulevard). Widen to Multi-Lanes	19	20	13		15	8	6				13	10	13	8	125
H090880	SR 1151	Interchange development at US 64 and Bryantown Rd. in Nash County	1	1	1		6	9	3				11	19	1	17	69
H090420	Wilson	Northern Loop, NC 58 (Nash Street) To US 301 Interchange At SR 1436 (Rosebud Church Road). Multi-Lanes On New Location	3	3	14		2	10	1				15	15	3	9	75
H090890	SR 1326	Widen Corbett Ave from Raleigh Rd to London Church Rd	13	13	3		14	11	18				14	18	14	19	137
H090891	SR 1927	Widen to three (3) lanes from I-95 to Webb St in Johnston County	14	14	15		13	15	17				16	6	15	18	143
H090882	SR 1207 (McNair Rd.)	Widen McNair Rd. to three (3) lanes from US 64 to US 64 Alt. in Edgecombe County	2	2	2		1	1	2				12	11	2	12	47
H090889	SR 1327 (London Church Rd)	Widen London Church Rd from Herring Ave to Lake Wilson Rd	15	15	16		12	16	15				17	12	16	13	147
H090468	Smithfield	SR 2403 (Component Drive Extension). Peeden Street To US 301 (Bright Leaf Boulevard). Construct Two Lanes On Multi-Lane Right Of Way, New Location	16	16	17		11	17	14				19	20	17	21	168
H111272	Old Stantonsburg Road (SR 1602)	Upgrade existing facility to boulevard - 4D - from Old Black Creek Road (SR 1606) to US 264 Interchange	17	17	18		10	18	13				18	13	18	14	156
H090879	SR 1124	Interchange development at US 264 and Massey Rd. in Nash County	18	18	19		9	19	10				20	21	19	20	173
H090883	SR 1608	Widen SR 1608 from US 301 to Black Creek Rd in Wilson County	20	19	20		8	20	12				21	16	20	15	171
			231	231	231	0	231	231	231	0	0	0	231	231	231	231	2310

SPOT 3 ID	In_CTP	CTP_SCORE	CONNECTIVITY	TOTAL VIABILITY SCORE MAX 75
H090874	Yes	50	15	65
H112119-B	Yes	50	15	65
H141500	No	0	15	15
H090893	Yes	50	15	65
H090881	Yes	50	15	65
H090892		50	15	65
H111061	No	0	15	15
H090878	No	0	20	20
H090895	Yes	50	15	65
H140525	Yes	50	15	65
H090421	Yes	50	15	65
H090880	No	0	15	15
H090420	Yes	50	15	65
H090890	No	0	15	15
H090891	Yes	50	15	65
H090882	Yes	50	15	65
H090889	Yes	50	15	65
H090468	No	0	15	15
H111272	Yes	50	15	65
H090879	No	0	15	15
H090883	Yes	50	15	65

** Note: Red Hi

Evaluation Results for Division Highway Projects

SPOTID	Data Driven - Quantitative Score - 20%	TAC Qualitative Score - Public Comments - 40%	Viability Score of Project - 40%	Data Driven Z-Score*	TCC Public Comments Z-Score*	Project Viability Z-Score*	Total Score (Data* X .10) + (Public Comment* X .50) + (Viability* X .40)
H090874	-18.33	132	-65	-1.68489211	-0.165368991	-0.68941425	-0.678891716
H112119-B	-17.05	50	-65	-1.41718966	-0.335378187	-0.68941425	-0.693354906
H141500	-16.20	104	-15	-1.2394185	-0.223420912	1.408803026	0.226269145
H090893	-15.67	85	-65	-1.12857296	-0.262813287	-0.68941425	-0.606605605
H090881	-15.50	96	-65	-1.09301873	-0.240007175	-0.68941425	-0.590372315
H090892	-15.04	114	-65	-0.99681316	-0.202688083	-0.68941425	-0.556203565
H111061	-14.06	107	-15	-0.79185348	-0.217201063	1.408803026	0.31827009
H090878	-12.26	97	-20	-0.41539691	-0.237933892	1.198981299	0.30133958
H090895	-11.81	59	-65	-0.32128277	-0.316718641	-0.68941425	-0.46670971
H140525	-9.71	55	-65	0.11791655	-0.325011773	-0.68941425	-0.382187097
H090421	-9.67	125	-65	0.12628226	-0.179881972	-0.68941425	-0.322462036
H090880	-8.87	69	-15	0.29359628	-0.295985813	1.408803026	0.503846142
H090420	-7.25	75	-65	0.63240719	-0.283546115	-0.68941425	-0.262702706
H090890	-7.18	137	-15	0.64704717	-0.155002577	1.408803026	0.630929613
H090891	-7.18	143	-65	0.64704717	-0.14256288	-0.68941425	-0.203381417
H090882	-6.90	47	-65	0.70560708	-0.341598036	-0.68941425	-0.271283497
H090889	-6.65	147	-65	0.75789271	-0.134269748	-0.68941425	-0.177895055
H090468	-5.51	168	-15	0.9963152	-0.090730808	1.408803026	0.726491928
H111272	-4.50	156	-65	1.20754917	-0.115610202	-0.68941425	-0.080499947
H090879	-3.42	171	-15	1.4334231	-0.084510959	1.408803026	0.816401448
H090883	-2.99	2310	-65	1.52335439	4.350241116	-0.68941425	1.769001627

Mean	-10.27	211.76	-48.57
Standard Deviation	4.781428109	482.3268503	23.82975331

Note: For the Regional Highway category the lowest 14 z-scoring projects receive the highest prioritization and receive 100 points each. This example highlights the 4 priority projects based on receiving the lowest z-scores as an example only. Projects that are partially within the RPO will receive the percentage of points allocated.

(Data Driven Score * .2) + (Public Comments Score * .4) + (Viability Score * .4)

TAC State and Region Highway Ballot Results - STI P3.0

TOTALS

SPOT_3_ID	Route	Description	Louise Hinton - Nashville	Al Wester - Red Oak	James Alston - Castalia	Evelyn Powell - Edgcombe	Vines Cobb, JR - Pinetops	Carol Ruffin - Tarboro	Logan Liles - City of Wilson	Dana Hewett - Sims	Tommy Hawkins - Saratoga	Ted Godwin - Johnston	Kenneth Jones - Wilson's Mills	Cherly Oliver - Selma	Wayne Outlaw - Nash	Charles Williams - Smithfield	
H090417	Smithfield	US 301-NC 96, NC 96 To SR 1007 (Brogden Rd), Widen to Multi-Lanes	5	5	5		2	25	5				2	2	5	2	58
H090872	US 70 Business	Pavement Rehabilitation on US 70B from I-95 to US 301	10	10	10		6	14	29				3	4	10	3	99
H129200-G	I-95	Recommends upgrading this facility to a 8-lane interstate.	13	13	13		31	26	31				4	3	13	4	151
H140389	US Hwy. 301	Convert to 4 lanes with median and sidewalks in increase mobility and provide pedestrian safety routes.	9	9	9		30	5	8				8	1	9	5	93
H141265	US 64	Upgrade US 64 to Interstate Standards	1	1	1		7	10	3				5	23	1	25	77
H140979	NC 242	Widen to 4 lane highway with median and sidewalks (4E Section) North of Benson.	16	16	16		29	27	30				6	6	16	10	172
H141939	NC 210	Add turn lanes on NC 210 and Realign the Intersection of SR 1162 (Black Creek Rd)	17	17	17		28	9	28				7	26	17	27	193
H141676	US 70	Upgrade at grade intersection to an interchange at Wilson's Mills Rd.	31	31	31		27	30	26				31	31	31	31	300
H111256	US 70	Improve Existing US 70 to Freeway Standards from the West End of the US 70 Bypass to the Wayne/Johnston County Line	30	30	30		26	28	27				9	10	30	6	226
H090346-C	NC 43	NC 43, SR 1616 (Country Club Road) To I-95. Widen To Multi-Lanes With Curb And Gutter - SR 1613 (Woodruff Avenue) To I-95.	2	2	2		3	16	2				10	27	2	26	92
H111266	US 264 Alt	Upgrading US 264 Alt. from Airport Blvd. to US 264/Ward St to a 4-Lane Divided Blvd with a 23 Foot raised landscaped median, sidewalks, and wide outside lanes with accomadations for bikes. Super Street design.	18	18	18		25	17	21				11	15	18	7	168
H129202	I-95	Recommends upgrading this facility to a six-lane interstate.	14	14	14		24	29	25				12	9	14	29	184
H090217	US 258	Crescent Road in Kinston in Lenoir County to US 64 at Tarboro in Edgevombe County. Multi-Lanes on New Location.	29	29	29		23	1	24				13	8	29	12	197
H111270	NC 58	Upgrading NC 58 between NC42/Ward Blvd. (SR 1516) and Forest Hill Rd (SR 1165) to a five-lane facility with sidewalk and to provide accommodations for bike to correspond to proposed bicycle and peddestrain improvements.	19	19	19		22	18	10				16	17	19	21	180
H090206	NC 58	Kinston to Wilson. Construct a freeway on new location.	28	28	28		21	19	23				15	7	28	8	205
H111275	NC 42	Upgrade existing facility to accommodate bike and pedestrian improvements - 4D	8	8	8		20	4	9				18	20	8	22	125
H129205	I-95	Upgrade existing facility to 6-lane freeway	11	11	11		19	2	7				17	5	11	9	103
H129204	I-95	Upgrade existing facility to 6-lane freeway	12	12	12		18	20	22				19	13	12	20	160
H111281	US 301	Upgrade existing facility to a boulevard - 4C - from NC 42 East Bridge to US 264 Interchange	4	4	4		4	8	1				14	14	4	11	68
H090224-B	NC 33	US 264 Bypass in Greenville to US 64 Southeast of Tarboro. Widen to a multi-lane facility Section B: NC 42 at Scott's Crossroads to NC 222 at Belvoir Crossroads.	27	27	27		17	7	17				20	16	27	16	201
H111282	City of Wilson	Construct Citywide signal system in City of Wilson	7	7	7		5	12	6				22	21	7	17	111
H129203	I-95	Upgrade existing facility to 6-lane freeway	20	20	20		16	21	19				23	18	20	23	200
H111268	NC 58	Upgrade existing facility to boulevard - 4D and 5A	21	21	21		15	22	18				24	19	21	24	206
H090224-A	NC 33	US 264 Bypass in Greenville To US 64 Southeast Of Tarboro. Widen To A Multi-Lane Facility - US 64 In Tarboro To NC 42 At Scott's Crossroads.	26	26	26		14	23	20				25	22	26	13	221
H141866	NC 58	Widen the existing 2 lane highway to a 4 lane highway beginning at the NC 58 and Old Spring Hope Rd intersection in Nashville proceeding south on the existing alignment approximately 11 miles to the City of Wilson Limits.	15	15	15		13	13	16				26	28	15	14	170
H141671	US 70	Upgrade at grade intersection to an interchange at Swift Creek Rd.	24	24	24		12	31	15				30	30	24	30	244
H141891	US 70	Upgrade to freeway improving SR 1501 (Swift Creek Rd) US 70 and SR 1913 (Wilson's Mills) US 70 at grade intersections to interchange	3	3	3		11	3	4				1	11	3	1	43
H090182	NC 11 NC 903	US 64 Relocation North of Bethel to NC 903. Widen to Four Lanes With A Bypass of Oak City on New Location	23	23	23		10	6	14				27	24	23	18	191
H111279	US Hwy. 70	Convert to 4 lanes with median. Provide a 4-lane divided cross section for this facility. The addition of a median will allow for better access control, thereby providing higher mobility for the facility.	25	25	25		9	24	13				21	12	25	28	207
H090470	Tarboro	NC 111 (Wilson Street), US 64 Alternate (Western Blvd) To NC 122 (McNair Road). Upgrade Roadway	6	6	6		1	15	11				29	25	6	15	120
H111277	US 64	Construct US 64 Westbound Off-Ramp	22	22	22		8	11	12				28	29	22	19	195
			496	496	496	0	496	496	496	0	0	0	496	496	496	496	4960

SPOT_3_ID	In_CTP	CTP_SCORE	CONNECTIVITY	TOTAL VIABILITY SCORE MAX 75	
H090417	Yes		50	20	70
H090872	Yes		50	15	75
H129200-G	Yes		50	25	75
H140389	Yes		50	20	70
H141265	No		0	25	25
H140979	Yes		50	15	65
H141939	No		0	15	15
H141676	Yes		50	25	75
H111256	Yes		50	15	65
H090346-C	No		0	15	15
H111266	Yes		50	15	65
H129202	Yes		50	20	70
H090217	Yes		50	25	75
H111270	Yes		50	15	65
H090206	Yes		50	25	75
H111275	Yes		50	15	65
H129205	Yes		50	25	75
H129204	Yes		50	25	75
H111281	Yes		50	15	65
H090224-B	Yes		50	25	75
H111282	Yes		50	25	75
H129203	Yes		50	15	65
H111268	Yes		50	15	65
H090224-A	Yes		50	15	65
H141866	No		0	25	25
H141671	Yes		50	25	75
H141891	Yes		50	25	75
H090182	Yes		50	25	75
H111279	Yes		50	15	65
H090470	Yes		50	15	65
H111277	No		0	15	15

Evaluation Results for State and Regional Highway Projects

SPOTID	Data Driven - Quantitative Score - 20%	TAC Qualitative Score - Public Comments - 40%	Viability Score of Project - 40%	Data Driven Z-Score*	TCC Public Comments Z-Score*	Project Viability Z-Score*	Total Score (Data* X .10) + (Public Comment* X .50) + (Viability* X .40)	
H090417	-22.66		58	-70	-2.211475722	-1.888434387	-0.327416268	-1.328635406
H090872	-18.51		99	-75	-1.40461729	-1.157235763	-0.622090909	-0.992654127
H129200-G	-18.67		151	-75	-1.435725085	-0.229861898	-0.622090909	-0.62792614
H140389	-16.34		93	-70	-0.982717821	-1.264240439	-0.327416268	-0.833206247
H141265	-18.67		77	-25	-1.435725085	-1.549586244	2.324655503	0.022882687
H140979	-18.36		172	-65	-1.375453732	0.14465447	-0.032741627	-0.230325609
H141939	-16.73		193	-15	-1.058543071	0.519170839	2.914004785	1.161561635
H141676	-12		300	-75	-0.138918883	2.427420906	-0.622090909	0.694348222
H111256	-11.98		226	-65	-0.135030408	1.10769656	-0.032741627	0.402975892
H090346-C	-12.7		92	-15	-0.275015486	-1.282074552	2.914004785	0.597768996
H111266	-10.97		168	-65	0.061337547	0.073318019	-0.032741627	0.028498066
H129202	-10.39		184	-70	0.174103304	0.358663824	-0.327416268	0.047319683
H090217	-16.39		197	-75	-0.992439007	0.59050729	-0.622090909	-0.211121249
H111270	-10.08		180	-65	0.234374657	0.287327373	-0.032741627	0.14870923
H090206	-14.72		205	-75	-0.667751397	0.733180192	-0.622090909	-0.089114566
H111275	-11.49		125	-65	-0.039762786	-0.69354883	-0.032741627	-0.29846874
H129205	-9.72		103	-75	0.304367195	-1.085899311	-0.622090909	-0.622322649
H129204	-9.32		160	-75	0.382136683	-0.069354883	-0.622090909	-0.20015098
H111281	-10.11		68	-65	0.228541945	-1.710093259	-0.032741627	-0.651425565
H090224-B	-13.55		201	-75	-0.440275646	0.661843741	-0.622090909	-0.072153997
H111282	-8.2		111	-75	0.599891247	-0.943226409	-0.622090909	-0.506148678
H129203	-7.9		200	-65	0.658218363	0.644009628	-0.032741627	0.376150873
H111268	-7.55		206	-65	0.726266664	0.751014305	-0.032741627	0.432562404
H090224-A	-7.28		221	-65	0.778761068	1.018525997	-0.032741627	0.550065962
H141866	-7.26		170	-25	0.782649543	0.108986245	2.324655503	1.129986608
H141671	-6.69		244	-75	0.893471062	1.42871059	-0.622090909	0.501342085
H141891	-6.29		43	-75	0.97124055	-2.155946078	-0.622090909	-0.916966685
H090182	-5		191	-75	1.222047147	0.483502613	-0.622090909	0.188974111
H111279	-4.85		207	-65	1.251210704	0.768848418	-0.032741627	0.544684857
H090470	-3.54		120	-65	1.505905776	-0.782719394	-0.032741627	-0.025003253
H111277	-1.93		195	-15	1.818927962	0.554839064	2.914004785	1.751323132

Mean	-11.29	163.89	-64.44
Standard Deviation	5.143405382	56.07231559	16.96786659

Note: For the Regional Highway category the lowest 14 z-scoring projects receive the highest prioritization and receive 100 points each. This example highlights the 4 priority projects based on receiving the lowest z-scores as an example only.

**** Note: Red Highlight = TCC Member Top Priority Projects**

Final Score = (Data Driven Score * .2) + (Public Comments Score * .4) + (Viability Score * .4)